

EACR 2023 Congress Abstracts

Contents

PROFFERED PAPER PRESENTATIONS 2

Rising Star and Top Abstracts.....	2
Symposium: Interface between the Microbiome and Immune System.....	3
Symposium: Drug Resistance	4
Symposium: Mechanism Based Clinical Trial.....	5
Symposium: Challenging Drug Targets	7
Joint EACR-SIC Symposium: Spatial Transcriptomics	8
Symposium: Cell Adaptation and Competition.....	9
Symposium: Tumour Metabolism and Epigenetic Control ..	10
Joint EACR-AACR Symposium: Computational Biology and AI in Research and Cancer Care	11
Symposium: Normal Tissue and the Biology of Early Cancer	12
Symposium: Drugging the RAS Pathway.....	13
Symposium: Advanced T-Cell Therapy.....	14
Symposium: Cancer Models.....	15
Symposium: Tumour Ecology and Evolution at Single Cell Level	16
Symposium: Tumour Dormancy / Persistence / Senescence17	
Symposium: Neoantigens & Vaccines	19
Symposium: Liquid Biopsies.....	20
Symposium: Chemical Biology	21

POSTER PRESENTATIONS (Tuesday/Wednesday) 22

Bioinformatics and Computational Biology	22
Biomarkers in Tissue and Blood	52
Cancer Cell Biology	107
Poster in the Spotlight	220
Cancer Genomics.....	224
Poster in the Spotlight	248
Poster in the Spotlight	249
Carcinogenesis	252

Drug Resistance	264
Poster in the Spotlight.....	268
Epigenetics	314
Poster in the Spotlight.....	316
Experimental / Molecular Therapeutics, Pharmacogenomics	328
Immunotherapy.....	388
Molecular and Genetic Epidemiology	413
Prevention and Early Detection	416
Radiobiology / Radiation Oncology	420
Poster in the Spotlight.....	431
Signalling Pathways.....	432
Translational Research	449
Tumour Biology.....	480
Poster in the Spotlight.....	536
Tumour Evolution and Heterogeneity	554
Poster in the Spotlight.....	556
Poster in the Spotlight.....	559
Tumour Immunology	570
Poster in the Spotlight.....	580
Poster in the Spotlight.....	582
Poster in the Spotlight.....	593

Proffered Papers

10-minute talks awarded for the highest scored abstracts, embedded in the scientific symposia sessions. These presentations are not accompanied by a poster.

Posters in the Spotlight

Tuesday 13 June, 17:30- 18:30, Poster and Exhibition Hall
Wednesday 14 June, 17:15- 18:15, Poster and Exhibition Hall

Dedicated sessions taking place in the spotlight area within the Poster and Exhibition Hall. Poster presenters with high scoring abstracts will give short presentations of up to 10 minutes. Their posters will also be available to view during the Poster Discussion Sessions.

pLKO-shYAP. Metabolic and non-metabolic viability assays were used to assess the sensitivity to the NAD⁺-depleting agent FK866 in attachment conditions, while the soft agar assay was performed to evaluate the ability of cells to grow in anchorage-independent conditions. Mitochondrial respiration was assessed through the Seahorse MitoStress test. Real-Time PCR and Western Blot were performed to determine the expression levels of genes/proteins of interest.

Results and Discussions

We showed that FK866 treatment decreases YAP phosphorylation status at serine 127, thus increasing its nuclear translocation in a cancer cell model of TNBC, which is sensitive to FK866. The stable silencing of YAP in MDA rescued FK866 toxicity both in 2D and 3D culturing, promoting a dose-dependent FK866-resistant like phenotype. At the metabolic level, the silencing of YAP on MDA p. induces the FK866-dependent expression of the mitochondrial biogenesis gene PGC1- α and increases the mitochondrial respiratory capacity. These features were previously associated with TNBC FK866-resistant phenotype by our group.

Conclusion

We identified a correlation between YAP activation and sensitivity to FK866, which can partially sustain the acquirement of resistance to FK866 in TNBC, likely through the modulation of mitochondrial metabolic traits.

EACR23-1161

Genetically and phenotypically heterogeneous cancer stem cells can be isolated from single glioblastomas by alternative selective pressures

F. De Bacco^{1,2}, *F. Orzan*¹, *G. Crisafulli*³, *M. Prelli*^{1,2}, *C. Isella*^{2,4}, *E. Casanova*¹, *R. Albano*⁵, *G. Reato*^{1,2}, *A. Bartolini*³, *M. Panero*⁶, *L. Casorzo*⁶, *F. Pagani*⁷, *A. Melcarne*⁸, *P. Zeppa*⁹, *D. Garbossa*⁹, *S. Pellegatta*¹⁰, *P.M. Comoglio*¹¹, *G. Finocchiaro*¹², *P.L. Poliani*⁷, *C. Boccaccio*^{1,2}

¹Candiolo Cancer Institute- FPO-IRCCS, Laboratory of Cancer Stem Cell Research, Candiolo, Italy

²University of Turin Medical School, Department of Oncology, Turin, Italy

³IFOM ETS - The AIRC Institute of Molecular Oncology, BioInformatic Group BIG & Genomics of Cancer and Targeted Therapies Unit, Milan, Italy

⁴Candiolo Cancer Institute- FPO-IRCCS, Oncogenomics, Candiolo, Italy

⁵Candiolo Cancer Institute- FPO-IRCCS, Core Facilities, Candiolo, Italy

⁶Candiolo Cancer Institute- FPO-IRCCS, Unit of Pathology, Candiolo, Italy

⁷University of Brescia, Department of Molecular and Translational Medicine, Brescia, Italy

⁸Città della Salute e della Scienza, Neurosurgery Unit, Turin, Italy

⁹University of Turin Medical School, Department of Neurosciences, Turin, Italy

¹⁰Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Neurologico C. Besta, Brain tumor immunotherapy, Milan, Italy

¹¹IFOM ETS - The AIRC Institute of Molecular Oncology,

Scholar, Milan, Italy

¹²IRCCS Ospedale San Raffaele, Department of Neurology, Milan, Italy

Introduction

Glioblastoma (GBM) is an invariably lethal brain tumor, known for its genetic and transcriptional heterogeneity, which limit the effectiveness of current treatments. GBM contains a population of stem-like cells (GSCs), essential for tumor onset, progression, and therapeutic resistance. GSCs are typically isolated from patient biopsies using a culture technique producing "neurospheres" (NS) in a serum-free medium supplemented with EGF and FGF2. The current methodology mostly relies on tissue samples of limited size, which may not fully capture the entire range of GBM heterogeneity. Moreover, standard culture conditions may select for a limited number of subclones that have the highest fitness in those conditions, potentially leading to an incomplete picture of the genetic diversity of the tumor. Hence, there is a need for alternative methods of GSC isolation that can better capture GBM heterogeneity and facilitate the study of subclonal evolution within the tumor.

Material and Methods

We collected a cohort of human GBMs surgically removed as ultrasonic aspirates (UA; n=31), virtually allowing to recover the entire tumor mass. From each single UA we established multiple NS parallel cultures ('NS families') by applying different positive selective pressures, represented by different cocktails of growth factors (EGF, FGF2, PDGFBB, and HGF). Four representative NS families underwent full genomic, transcriptomic and phenotypic characterization.

Results and Discussions

Within each NS family, NS members displayed homogeneous driver gene mutations but, when present, heterogeneous EGFR or MYC gene amplifications, in terms of amplification levels and modalities (clusters vs. double minutes). However, the tumorigenic potential of each NS was found to better correlate with its transcriptional profile rather than its genetic landscape. Across multiple GBMs, classical NS were invariably more malignant than mesenchymal GSCs *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Interestingly, from a tumor including two histopathologically distinct areas, a conventional GBM and a more aggressive primitive neuronal component, we established a NS family whose members displayed homogeneous genetic features but distinct transcriptional and biological features, and were able to reproduce one or the other tumor's component.

Conclusion

Our methodology allows to propagate distinct GSCs from individual tumors, which, collectively, better recapitulate the original GBM and represent a resource to study GBM heterogeneity.

EACR23-1162

Expression profiling of ANKRD1 in rhabdomyosarcoma cell lines

*E. Milosevic*¹, *J. Jasnic*¹, *M. Novkovic*¹, *V. Cenni*^{2,3}, *A. Bavelloni*⁴, *S. Kojic*¹

¹Institute of Molecular Genetics and Genetic Engineering, Laboratory for Molecular Biology, Belgrade, Serbia

²Institute of Molecular Genetics "Luigi Luca Cavalli-

Sforza”- National Research Council of Italy IGM-CNR,
Unit of Bologna, Bologna, Italy

³IRCCS, Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli, Bologna, Italy

⁴IRCCS,

Istituto Ortopedico Rizzoli- Laboratory for Experimental Oncology, Bologna, Italy

Introduction

Rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) is the most common soft tissue malignancy in children and adolescents. Respecting the age of the patients and the tumor aggressiveness, investigation of the molecular mechanisms of RMS tumorigenesis is essential, most notably due to the possible identification of novel therapeutic targets. To contribute to a better understanding of the molecular pathology of RMS, we investigated ANKRD1 (ankyrin repeat domain 1) gene, considered a potential RMS diagnostic marker. The changes in its expression are related to carcinogenesis and resistance to chemotherapy in several types of tumors.

Material and Methods

In this study, we used three RMS cell lines, SJRH30, RD and HS-729. The expression pattern of endogenous ANKRD1 was determined by RT-PCR, qPCR, Western blot and immunoprecipitation, and the intracellular localization of the protein was examined by immunocytochemistry. Sanger sequencing was used to check for possible alterations in the ANKRD1 open reading frame. Transient transfections of cells were performed with the eukaryotic expression vector encoding ANKRD1 open reading frame. Proteasomal degradation was inhibited by the incubation of cells with MG132.

Results and Discussions

RMS cell lines expressed similar amounts of wild-type ANKRD1 transcript, but the protein level was different. ANKRD1 protein was expressed at detectable levels in the SJRH30 and RD cells (SJRH30>RD), but not in the HS-729. Immunocytochemistry revealed the predominant nuclear localization of ANKRD1 and its presence in unidentified nuclear bodies. Overexpression of ANKRD1 was not achieved in RMS cell lines, although it was successful in other cell types. This was potentially due to the proteasomal degradation of ANKRD1, as inhibition of proteasomes with MG132 led to an increase of ANKRD1 protein level in the RMS cells.

Conclusion

ANKRD1 protein is expressed at different levels in RMS cell lines and its regulatory role is supported by nuclear localization. ANKRD1 propensity for proteasomal degradation indicates that ANKRD1 overexpression may be lethal for RMS cells. These observations suggest that ANKRD1 warrants further consideration as RMS therapeutic target.

EACR23-1165

Deregulation of BID in malignant thyroid neoplasm

F.M. Orlandella¹, N. Luciano², M. Braile³, K. Pane³, M. Franzese³, G. Salvatore¹

¹Università degli studi di Napoli “Parthenope”, Dipartimento di Scienze Motorie e del Benessere, Naples, Italy

²Università degli Studi di Napoli “Federico II”, Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche avanzate, Naples, Italy

³IRCCS Synlab SDN S.p.A., IRCCS Synlab SDN, Naples, Italy

Introduction

BID (BH3 Interacting Domain Death Agonist) is a proapoptotic protein belonging to the BCL-2 family that plays a central role in apoptotic signaling pathway. Several papers reported that deregulated expression of BID is associated with poor outcome in cancer patients.

Although the majority of papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) patients respond well to therapy, no effective therapeutic strategies are available for the treatment of the aggressive forms of this neoplasm. Given the importance of the apoptotic process during the human carcinogenesis, we purpose to assess the expression of BID in thyroid cancer (TC) tissues.

microRNAs (miRNAs), regulating gene expression, have an important role in the molecular pathogenesis of TC. In this field, our preliminary data showed that BID is one of downregulated proteins in TC cells overexpressing the miR-331-5p, suggesting that this miRNA could regulate the expression of BID in this malignancy.

Material and Methods

Expression studies in thyroid cancer tissues were investigated by *in silico* analysis. Bioinformatic program, western blot and luciferase assays were applied to unveil that BID is a direct target of miR-331-5p.

Results and Discussions

By interrogating The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA-THCA), we found that BID is overexpressed in thyroid cancer compared to normal thyroid tissues. The ectopic expression of miR-331-5p in thyroid cancer cells leads to the downregulation of BID protein. The luciferase assay confirmed the direct targeting. Co-expression analysis across 509 thyroid cancer tissues unveils an inverse correlation between miR-331-5p and BID. Interestingly, the proliferation marker KI67 is inversely correlated with miR-331-5p and positively correlated with the mRNA of BID.

Conclusion

These altogether findings suggest that the altered expression of BID/miR-331-5p could be a novel potential candidate biomarker for the malignant thyroid neoplasm.

EACR23-1180

Engineering Target Tissue in Lab-on-a-chip Devices for Predicting Homing Choices of Metastatic Cancer

G. BATILAYAZ^{1,2}, D. Pesen Okvur³

¹Izmir Institute of Technology, Bioengineering, Izmir, Turkiye

²Izmir Biomedicine and Genome Center, Basic and Translational Research, Izmir, Turkiye

³Izmir Institute of Technology, Molecular Biology and Genetics, Izmir, Turkiye

Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer in women, and lung is one of the primary sites of metastasis. Metastasis is the leading cause of cancer related deaths. One of the most important steps of the metastatic cascade is the extravasation of cancer cells into distant organs. Vascularization is a critical component of engineering the tumor microenvironment. Recently developed lab-on-a-chip platforms strive to mimic the *in vivo*